UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,488	10/18/2004	Dan Zhou	UCF-294DIV	3162
	7590 03/31/200 S OF BRIAN S STEIN	EXAMINER		
101 BREVARD AVENUE			PATEL, TAYAN B	
COCOA, FL 32922			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/31/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/699,488	ZHOU ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
TAYAN PATEL	1795		

	TAYAN PATEL	1795	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 18 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance v	t, or other evidence, www. with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth interthan SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount on the tend statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	sideration and/or search (see NOT w); er form for appeal by materially red	E below); lucing or simplifying th	
 (d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.12) The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 	16 and 41.33(a)). 11. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will	•	_
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	before or on the date of filing a No	otice of Appeal will not	be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or	sufficient reasons why the affidavi a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	t or other evidence is date of filing a brief, w Il and/or appellant fails	necessary and vill <u>not</u> be s to provide a
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but See below.	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other: /Alexa D. Neckel/	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new issues that would require further consideration and/or a search are as follows:
For claim 8, a container having a closed bottom portion and an open top portion; a magnetic stirrer for agitating the liquid hydrocarbon in the container; and a power supply with an ammeter and a voltmeter connected between the anode and the cathode.
For claim 18, a container for housing the liquid hydrocarbon made of at least one of glass ceramic material.
For claim 19, the plurality of electrodes further including a reference electrode to monitor the electrochemical activity in the container.

11. The arguments are directed to Claim 8 as amended. Claim 8 does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because a further search and/or consideration is required to determine whether a magnetic stirrer in combination with the other components in the electrochemical deposition apparatus would be obvious. In addition, new claims 18-19 have been introduced. Claim 18 discloses the container for housing the liquid hydrocarbon to be made of at least one of glass or ceramic material. Claim 19 discloses the plurality of electrodes including a reference electrode to monitor. Claims 18-19 do NOT place the application in condition for allowance given their dependency from claim 8 and will also require a further search and/or consideration in order to determine if they are obvious.